



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Site: Maline Creek
ID # MOD980631162
Break: 17.8
Other: 3-3-89

DB
cc OSW
PHIL
For Raymond
ART

MAR -3 1989

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Guidance on Non-NPL Removal Actions Involving Nationally Significant or Precedent-Setting Issues (OSWER Directive 9360.0-19)

FROM: Henry L. Longest II, Director
Office of Emergency and Remedial Response

TO: Director, Waste Management Division
Regions I, IV, V, VII, VIII
Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division
Regions III, VI
Director, Emergency and Remedial Response Division
Region II
Director, Toxics and Waste Management Division
Region IX
Director, Hazardous Waste Division, Region X
Director, Environmental Services Division
Regions I, VI, VII

07KF

30290383



Superfund

1.0

Purpose:

0400

This memorandum transmits guidance for identifying non-NPL removal actions that may be nationally significant or precedent-setting and establishes procedures for requesting Headquarters (HQ) concurrence. The guidance also outlines procedural requirements for five categories of removals which are of special interest from a national perspective, but which are not subject to the HQ concurrence requirement for nationally significant or precedent-setting removals.

Background:

Delegation 14-1-A (February 1987) and OSWER Directive 9360.0-12 (April 1987) require the concurrence of the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response (AA, OSWER) prior to initiation of removal actions taken at non-NPL sites where the proposed action is of national significance or precedent-setting. Redlegation R-14-1-A transfers authority to concur to the Director of the Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (OD, OERR); authority to non-concur remains with the AA, OSWER. The purpose of the concurrence requirement is to promote national consistency in the implementation of the Superfund removal program.

It is not anticipated that a large number of removal actions will pose issues requiring HQ concurrence. Assessment of the potential long-term implications of initiating certain removal actions is largely interpretive, however, and Regional personnel should consult this guidance whenever considering a removal action at a non-NPL site.

-3-

Rationale: HQ concurrence will facilitate the execution of proper diplomatic protocol by the Department of State, and proper coordination with Indian tribes, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Indian Health Service, and other appropriate organizations, where applicable.

2. Removals involving pesticide contamination arising from:

- improper storage of pesticide products awaiting indemnification
- lawful application of pesticides, including special local use pesticides
- grain fumigation operations.

Rationale: HQ concurrence will ensure that the Agency avoids commitment to cleanup of widespread contamination beyond the intended scope of CERCLA.

3. Removal actions at sites involving any form of dioxin when it is one of the principal contaminants of concern.

Rationale: HQ concurrence will ensure national consistency in dioxin cleanup. The Dioxin Disposal Advisory Group (DDAG) in HQ must review all dioxin removal actions to verify that the proposed action will provide an acceptable level of protection from dioxin exposure.

4. Removal actions at sites involving releases from consumer products in consumer use (e.g., lead-contaminated soil resulting from peeling lead-based paint on houses).

Rationale: HQ concurrence will ensure that the Agency avoids a commitment to the cleanup of widespread non-point source contamination that is beyond the intended scope of CERCLA.

5. Removals involving asbestos when it is the principal contaminant of concern.

Rationale: HQ concurrence remains necessary because action levels for response have not yet been set and these determinations are being made on a case-by-case basis.

6. Removal actions involving substances or releases which may be subject to statutory exclusions or limitations in CERCLA. These include:

-5-

Response during a Nationally Significant Incident," which states that OSCs should inform the Regional Coordinator when these types of incidents occur.

For those removal actions where HQ concurrence is required, written concurrence must be received prior to the Regional Administrator's (RA) formal approval of the Action Memorandum, except in cases of emergencies (i.e., situations where a response must be initiated within hours after completion of a site evaluation). HQ concurrence procedures for non-emergency removal actions at dioxin sites have been modified to streamline procedures. These non-emergency, emergency, and special dioxin concurrence procedures are discussed below.

Non-Emergency Removal Concurrence Procedures

All non-emergency concurrences must be requested through an Action Memorandum with a Request for Concurrence form attached. The Action Memorandum should be in final draft form, except that it should ~~not~~ be signed by the RA. The request form must be addressed from the RA to the OD, OERR and should describe the nationally significant or precedent-setting issue. This form has been developed in an effort to minimize the additional paperwork associated with obtaining HQ concurrence. A copy of the form is attached.

The RA may approve the Action Memorandum for a nationally significant or precedent-setting removal action once the action has been concurred upon by HQ. Additional HQ concurrence is required only if the scope of work described within the Action Memorandum changes significantly. In this case, HQ concurrence on the amended Action Memorandum is required, as discussed above, prior to any additional actions at the site. HQ concurrence is not required on requests for ceiling increases or time exemptions, unless the scope of work changes significantly. Most \$2 million exemption requests require approval by the AA, OSWER, unless the consistency exemption authority for that site has been delegated to the RA.

Emergency Removal Concurrence Procedures

In cases where emergency removal actions, as defined above, involve nationally significant or precedent-setting issues, Regions may initiate a removal action without HQ concurrence. In these cases, however, OSCs must take only those actions necessary to mitigate the emergency or stabilize the site, and then inform the appropriate ERD Regional Coordinator on the next working day after the removal action was initiated.

If the response is determined to be nationally significant or precedent-setting but no further actions are required beyond the emergency mitigation, the Regions must send to the Director, OERR a copy of the Action Memorandum

The five categories of removal actions and the policy for handling each are as follows:

1. Removals involving mining sites.

Procedures: OSCs must consult with their ERD Regional Coordinator and demonstrate within the Action Memorandum that they have investigated other potential cleanup authorities (e.g., the Surface Mining Act) but found that a response could not be initiated under such authorities within the time frame required to protect human health, welfare, or the environment, or that these authorities do not apply to the particular response situation.

2. Removals involving Federal facilities.

Procedures: Guidance on conducting removals at Federal facilities is under development. Until this guidance is effective, OSCs must confer with the ERD Regional Coordinators to ensure that the roles and responsibilities of the various agencies are assigned appropriately.

3. Removals involving site-specific contracts.

Procedures: OSCs must coordinate with the HQ Procurement and Contracts Management Division (PCMD) to confirm that the contract Statement of Work (SOW) is consistent with the Action Memorandum and the SOW conforms with CERCLA and the NCP.

4. Removals involving radiation sites.

Procedures: OSCs must contact the HQ Office of Radiation Programs for guidance on health and safety in conducting radiation cleanup activities.

5. Removals involving business relocations.

Procedures: Action Memoranda for removals involving business relocations may be approved by the Regional Administrators, and other response activities comprising the removal may be initiated; however, until specific guidance is developed, OSCs must confer with ERD Regional Coordinators on business relocations prior to initiating the specific business relocation activities. This is to ensure national consistency in the criteria used to determine the need for business relocations, and the specific expenses incurred.

**Subject: Request for Concurrence on Proposed Nationally Significant or
Precedent-Setting Removal**

From: Regional Administrator

**To: Director
Office of Emergency and Remedial Response**

The purpose of this memorandum is to request your concurrence on the proposed removal action at the _____ site in _____. Redlegation of Authority R-14-1-A gives you the authority to concur on nationally significant or precedent-setting removals.

The OSC has discussed this proposed removal with staff of the HQ Emergency Response Division. ERD has advised the OSC that this removal is considered nationally significant or precedent-setting because _____

The action memorandum is attached for your review. My approval awaits your concurrence.

Concur

Director, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response

Date

According to the redelegation, authority to non-concur remains with the Assistant Administrator. If you choose not to concur on this action, please forward this memo to the Assistant Administrator.

Non-Concur

Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste
and Emergency Response

Date

Concur:

Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste
and Emergency Response

Date